1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	JASON ERIK SCHMAUS,	1:20-cv-00922-SAB (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
12	P. COVELLO, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	Defendant.	
14	Plaintiff a state prisoner proceeding	pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
15	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
16		
17	§ 1915.	
18	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged	
19	violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
20	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have	
21	been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
23	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
24	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This Court will not rule on Plaintiff's request to	
25	proceed in forma pauperis.	
26	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
27	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
28	California sitting in Sacramento; and	

1	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
2	filed at:	
3	United States District Court	
4	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
5	3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: July 6, 2020	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		