2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LAMONT J. HOWARD,) Case No.: 1:20-cv-00933-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER CONSTRUING RESPONSE TO ORDER
13	v.) TO SHOW CAUSE IN PART AS MOTION TO) AMEND TO NAME PROPER RESPONDENT
14	SUPERIOR COURT METROPOLITAN) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND AND
15	DIVISION OF COUNTY OF KERN, Respondent.	DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO CHANGE NAME OF RESPONDENT
16) NAME OF RESPONDENT
17	On July 13, 2020, the Court dismissed the petition with leave to amend for failure to name a	
18	proper respondent. (Doc. 6.) The Court also issued an order to show cause why the petition should not	
19	be dismissed for failure to exhaust state remedies. (Doc. 5.) On July 27, 2020, Petitioner filed a	
20	response to the order to show cause, in which Petitioner requests to amend the Respondent to Warden	
21	Sullivan. (Doc. 8.) The Court will construe this as a motion to amend to name the proper respondent	
22	and grant the motion. It appears the current warden is Warden Cates. ¹	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26		
27	The Court restee that Wender Court land at W. 1	on Cultiman and the smoother of Cultimate Comment of the Comment of Cultimate Comment of Cult
28	¹ The Court notes that Warden Cates has replaced Warden Sullivan as the warden of California Correctional Institution. Rule 25 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows the successor of a public office to automatically be substituted as a party	

/// Because it appears Warden Cates is a proper party (Rule 2(a) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; Ortiz-Sandoval v. Gomez, 81 F.3d 891, 894 (9th Cir. 1996); Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994)), the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to change the name of the Respondent to Warden Cates. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston Dated: **August 10, 2020** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE