1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MICHAEL DEONTRAY WILLIAMS,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01094-AWI-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTICE IDENTIFYING DEFENDANT JOHN DOE FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS	
13	V.		
14	PFEIFFER, et al.,	NINETY (90) DAY DEADLINE	
15	Defendants.		
16		J	
17	Plaintiff Michael Deontray Williams ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and		
18	in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	On December 2, 2020, the assigned District Judge ordered that this action shall proceed		
20	on Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants Atkinson, Cervantes, and John Doe for excessive		
21	force in violation of the Eighth Amendment for the incident on August 31, 2018. (ECF No. 19.)		
22	By separate order, the Court has directed service on Defendants Atkinson and Cervantes.		
23	At this time, the Court does not find service appropriate for Defendant John Doe because		
24	the U.S. Marshal cannot serve a Doe Defendant. Therefore, before the Court orders the U.S.		
25	Marshal to serve Defendant John Doe, Plaintiff will be required to identify him with enough		
26	information to locate the defendant for service of process. Once Plaintiff identifies Defendant		
27	John Doe for service, he should file a motion to substitute the identity of Defendant John Doe in		
28	the complaint. If the motion is granted, the Court will direct the U.S. Marshal to serve Defendant 1		

1	John Doe. However, if Plaintiff fails to identify Defendant John Doe, then he will be dismissed	
2	from this action.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:	
4	1. Within ninety (90) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff SHALL file a	
5	motion to substitute the identity of Defendant John Doe that provides the Court with	
6	enough information to locate him for service of process; and	
7	2. The failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of Defendant John Doe	
8	from this action, without prejudice, for failure to serve with process pursuant to	
9	<u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m)</u> .	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: December 3, 2020 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	