

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VANDLEAN MOSIER,
Plaintiff,
v.
CR BARD INC, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-01767-TLN-EFB
New Case No. 2:20-cv-01767-DAD-BAM
ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING
CASES

JENNIFER PELAYO,
Plaintiff,
v.
C.R. BARD INC., et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01264-AWI-SKO
New Case No. 1:20-cv-01264-DAD-BAM


A review of the complaints in the above-captioned cases reveals that they are related under this court’s Local Rule 123(a) to the following related actions pending before the undersigned: *Broocks v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01228-DAD-BAM; *Denzer v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01236-DAD-BAM; *Reynolds v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01238-DAD-BAM; *Boyer v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01243-DAD-BAM; *Gonzalez v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01316-DAD-BAM; *Karpenko v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01317-DAD-BAM; *Cook v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01498-

1 DAD-BAM; *LaLonde v. C R Bard Inc.*, 1:19-cv-01516-DAD-BAM; *Baker v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*,
2 1:20-cv-00277-DAD-BAM; *Cronan v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 2:15-cv-01418-DAD-BAM; *Wilson v.*
3 *C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM; *Bradburn v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00437-DAD-
4 BAM; *Camarena v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00441-DAD-BAM; *Butler v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-
5 cv-00442-DAD-BAM; *Molina v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00443-DAD-BAM; *Bond v. C.R. Bard,*
6 *Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00444-DAD-BAM; *Krieger v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-00445-DAD-BAM; *Licea v.*
7 *C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 2:20-cv-00644-DAD-BAM; *Turner v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 2:20-cv-00645-DAD-
8 BAM; *Whitaker v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-01668-DAD-BAM; and *Leonhart v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*,
9 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM. Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the
10 undersigned and to Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe will promote efficiency and economy
11 for the court and the parties.

12 An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same
13 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this
14 district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher
15 numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a
16 savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, **the court orders that**
17 **the two (2) above-captioned actions be reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge**
18 **Barbara A. McAuliffe and that they be related to each of the twenty-one (21) already**
19 **related actions listed above.** The documents in the above-captioned actions shall bear the new
20 case numbers above.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: September 14, 2020

23 
24 _____
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28