

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

HEIDI KELLERHALS,
Plaintiff,
v.
MAIRA RUELAS, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01279-NONE-EPG
**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS***
(ECF No. 2)

Plaintiff, Heidi Kellerhals, is proceeding *pro se* in this action. (ECF No. 1.) On September 8, 2020, Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 2.) Plaintiff has made the requisite showing under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, her application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED.

As to the status of the complaint, Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court must conduct an initial review of a *pro se* complaint filed *in forma pauperis* to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The Court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the Court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the Court

1 determines that the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent
2 that the deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. The complaint will be screened
3 in due course and Plaintiff will be served with the resulting order.

4

5

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6

Dated: September 10, 2020

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28