

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARL LAPRA PRADIA, JR.,
Plaintiff,
v.
A. BECERRA, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01348-NONE-JLT (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT
JUDGMENT

(Doc. Nos. 16 & 19)

Plaintiff Carl Lapra Pradia, Jr., is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 28, 2021, plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment. (Doc. No. 16.) On November 2, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff's motion be denied as premature. (Doc. No. 19.) The magistrate judge informed plaintiff that defendants' deadline for filing a responsive pleading to his complaint has not yet passed. (*See id.* at 1.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and provided him 14 days to file objections thereto. (*Id.*) Plaintiff has not filed any objections, and the time to do so has passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and

1 recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

2 Accordingly,


- 3 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 2, 2021 (Doc. No. 19) are
4 adopted in full;
- 5 2. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (Doc. No. 16) is denied; and,
- 6 3. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.

7

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8

9 Dated: December 8, 2021



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28