Case 1:20-cv-01378-AWI-HBK Document 15 Filed 07/19/21 Page 1 of 3

1

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16171819

2223

20

21

25

26

24

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUIS ALBERTO ALARCON NAVA,

Petitioner,

v.

XAVIER BECERRA,

Respondent.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01378-AWI-HBK (HC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND CLOSE CASE

(Doc. No. 14)

Petitioner Luis Alberto Alarcon Nava is a state prisoner proceeding in propria persona with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Doc. No. 1. On June 2, 2021, the magistrate judge assigned to the case issued findings and recommendations to dismiss the petition. Doc. No. 14. These findings and recommendations were served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within twenty-one days from the date of service of that order. Petitioner has filed no objections and the time for doing so has passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

In addition, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 335–36 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue a certificate of appealability provides as follows:

## Case 1:20-cv-01378-AWI-HBK Document 15 Filed 07/19/21 Page 2 of 3

1	(a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of
2	appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.
3	(b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial
4	a person charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.
5	(c)
6	(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an
7	appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—
8	(A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State
9	court; or
10	(B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.
11	(2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional
12	right.
13	(3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).
14	specific issue of issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).
15	28 U.S.C. § 2253.
16	If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of
17	appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
18	§ 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must establish that "reasonable
19	jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved
20	in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to
21	proceed further." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463
22	U.S. 880, 893 & n.4 (1983)).
23	In this case, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made the required substantial showing
24	of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of appealability.
25	Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's determination that Petitioner is not entitled to
26	federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or deserving of encouragement to proceed further.
27	Thus, the Court will decline to issue a certificate of appealability.
28	

## Case 1:20-cv-01378-AWI-HBK Document 15 Filed 07/19/21 Page 3 of 3 ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 14) issued on June 2, 2021, are ADOPTED in full;
- 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 1) is DISMISSED;
- 3. The Clerk of Court shall ENTER judgment and CLOSE the file; and
- 4. The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 19, 2021

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE