1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MATTHEW H. BECKETT,	No. 1:20-cv-01427-NONE-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	MORENO, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 14)
16		
17	Plaintiff Matthew H. Beckett is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On November 12, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's first amended	
20	complaint and issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action proceed on	
21	plaintiff's claim for excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment for the incident on	
22	October 21, 2018 against defendants Sedillo and Moreno for force used after the time plaintiff	
23	lost consciousness. (Doc. No. 14.) The magistrate judge further recommended that all other	
24	claims be dismissed based on plaintiff's failure to state claims upon which relief may be granted.	
25	(Id.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
26	objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 6.) No objections have	
27	been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.	

/////

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 12, 2020, (Doc. No. 14), are adopted in full; 2. This action shall proceed on plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed November 6, 2020, (Doc. No. 12), against defendants Sedillo and Moreno for force used after the time plaintiff lost consciousness during the incident on October 21, 2018, in violation of the Eighth Amendment; 3. All other claims are dismissed from this action for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; and 4. This action is referred back to the magistrate judge for proceedings consistent with this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 7, 2021**