

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADAM JESSE IBARRA,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

ROBLES, et al.,

Defendants.

1:20-cv-01433-AWI-GSA-PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL (ECF No. 8.)

ORDER DISMISSING THIS CASE, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER (ECF No. 6.)

ORDER FOR CLERK TO CLOSE CASE

Adam Jesse Ibarra ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding *pro se* and in *forma pauperis* with this civil rights case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 18, 2022, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that this case be dismissed, without prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to comply with a court order. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff was granted fourteen days in which to file objections to the findings and

recommendations. (<u>Id.</u>) The fourteen-day time period has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed objections or any other response to the findings and recommendations.¹

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on April 18,
 2022, are adopted in full;
- 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with a court order; and
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>June 10, 2022</u>

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ On April 27, 2022, the findings and recommendations were returned in the mail by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable. A notice on the envelope stated: Undeliverable, Not in Custody. (Court Record.) Plaintiff has not notified the court of any change in his address. Absent such notice, service at a party's prior address is fully effective. Local Rule 182(f).