

1 Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”) “still ha[s] an active Federal warrant hold on [him] and
2 will not release [him]” even though he has completed the federal sentence. (Doc. 1 at 5.) He asks
3 the Court to “contact the [CDCR] and have them lift [the] Federal custody hold.” (Doc. 1 at 5.)

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 A habeas petition may be filed in the United States District Court for either the judicial
6 district in which the petitioner is presently confined or the judicial district in which he was
7 convicted and sentenced. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); Braden v. 30th Judicial District Court, 410
8 U.S. 484, 497, 93 S. Ct. 1123, 1131 (1973). Petitioner was convicted and sentenced on his state
9 conviction in the Los Angeles County Superior Court, which is located in the Central District. He
10 was convicted and sentenced on his federal conviction in the Fresno Division of the Eastern
11 District. He is confined at California Medical Facility, Vacaville (“CMF”). (Doc. 1 at 1); CDCR
12 Inmate Locator, <https://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/>. CMF is in the Sacramento Division of the
13 Eastern District of California. See CDCR Facility Locator, [https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/facility-](https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/facility-locator/cmf/)
14 [locator/cmf/](https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/facility-locator/cmf/) (stating CMF is located in Solano County); 28 U.S.C. § 84(b) (stating that Solano
15 County is in the Eastern District of California).

16 In general, when a habeas petitioner is challenging his underlying sentence or conviction,
17 the judicial district in which the petitioner was convicted and sentenced is the preferred forum
18 because of the accessibility of evidence, records, and witnesses in that forum. See Laue v. Nelson,
19 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Here, Petitioner is challenging the manner in which his
20 sentence is being executed. Because Petitioner is confined in Solano County and Solano County
21 lies in the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District, the Sacramento Division is the preferable
22 forum to consider the petition. See Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989) (“The
23 proper forum to challenge the execution of a sentence is the district court where the prisoner is
24 confined.”); Bell v. California, No. 17-01023, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123722 at *2 (E.D. Cal.
25 Aug. 3, 2017) (“It is preferable for petitions challenging a conviction or sentence to be heard in
26 the district of conviction and for petitions challenging the manner in which the sentence is being
27 executed to be heard in the district of confinement.”); Local Rule 120(d) (stating civil
28 proceedings arising in Solano County shall be commenced in the Sacramento Division of the

1 Eastern District).

2 **CONCLUSION**

3 For these reasons, the Court finds that transferring this action to the Sacramento Division
4 of the Eastern District of California is in the interests of justice. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)
5 (authorizing federal court to transfer a case to the appropriate district court in the interests of
6 justice).

7 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court transfer this case to the
8 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: October 15, 2020

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28