1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ESAU REDIX, No.: 1:20-cv-01647-NONE-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 13 v. (Doc. No. 11) J. NAVARRO, et al., 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff Esau Redix is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action 19 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant 20 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 21 On February 4, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations 22 recommending that this action proceed against Defendants Navarro, Vera, Medina, Allison, and 23 Higuera for excessive force, against Defendant Vera for sexual assault, against Defendants Navarro 24 and Allison for death threats, and against Defendants Navarro, Gonzales, and Campos for deliberate 25 indifference to Plaintiff's medical needs. (Doc. No. 11.) The magistrate judge also recommended that 26 all other claims be dismissed from the action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. (*Id.*) 27 ///// 28 /////

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that objections were due within fourteen (14) days. (*Id.* at 2.) No objections were filed and the time to do so has expired.¹

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on February 4, 2021 (Doc. No. 11), are adopted in full;
- 2. This action shall proceed against Defendants Navarro, Vera, Medina, Allison, and Higuera for excessive force, against Defendant Vera for sexual assault, against Defendants Navarro and Allison for death threats, and against Defendants Navarro, Gonzales, and Campos for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's medical needs; and
- 3. All other claims are dismissed from the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **March 7, 2021**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE

¹ In response to the magistrate judge's screening order (Doc. No. 8), plaintiff filed a notice indicating that he wished to proceed on those claims found cognizable. (Doc. No. 9.)