identified by the Ninth Circuit in *Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986) weighed in favor of default judgment. (*Id.* at 3-7.) The magistrate judge found Plaintiff was entitled to statutory damages in the amount of \$2,000, after considering the advertising for the event on a Facebook page for Rico's pizza, the capacity of Rico's Pizza, the number of patrons present, the televisions used for the broadcast, and the \$600 amount Defendant would have paid for a proper sublicense. (*Id.* at 7-8.) The magistrate judge also found Plaintiff was entitled to enhanced damages and recommended the Court award \$2,400 in enhanced damages. (*Id.*) Finally, the magistrate judge recommended the Court award \$600 in damages for conversion. (*Id.* at 9-10.)

The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified it that any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 77 at 11.) The Court also advised Plaintiff the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings' on appeal." (*Id.*, quoting *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the findings related to the statutory damages and enhanced damages are supported by the record and proper analysis. However, as the magistrate judge acknowledged, this Court has declined to award damages for conversion in addition to statutory damages. Because such the damages for conversion are redundant of—and subsumed into—the damages awarded for Section 605, the Court declines to award a separate amount for conversion. *See*, *e.g.*, *G & G Closed Circuit Events*, *LLC v. La Placita RM Rest. Inc.*, 2023 WL 5846676, at*1-2 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 8, 2023) (adopting the recommendations to award statutory damages and enhanced damages under Section 605 on a motion for default judgment, and declining to award damages for conversion because "such an award would be redundant to the amount in statutory damages"); *G & G Closed Circuit Events*, *LLC v. Barajas-Quijada*, 2020 WL 635264 at *4 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2020) ("this Court has repeatedly declined to award damages for conversion in addition to statutory damages for the same wrong") (collecting cases). Accordingly, the Court declines to adopt the recommendation for a separate award for conversion. *See La Placita RM Rest. Inc.*, 2023 WL 5846676, at *1-2; *J & J Sports Prods. v. Argueta*, 224 F.Supp.3d 700, 703 (W.D. Ark. 2016) ("as a matter of law that if liability is

1	established for both a violation of § 605 and the conversion claim, [the plaintiff] must elect its remedy	
2	because the Court will not allow recovery for both").	
3	Accordingly, the Court ORDERS :	
4	1.	The Findings and Recommendations dated January 7, 2025 (Doc. 77) are ADOPTED
5		in part.
6	2.	Plaintiff's motion for default judgment is GRANTED in part, in the modified amount
7		of \$2,000 in statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and
8		\$2,400.00 in enhanced damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3(C)(ii), for a total
9		award of \$4,400.00 .
10	3.	Plaintiff's request for damages for conversion is DENIED .
11	4.	Judgment SHALL be entered in favor of Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC
12		and against Defendant Oscar Dorado Aguilar, individually and doing business as Rico's
13		Pizza.
14	5.	Plaintiff shall file any motion for attorneys' fees pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605 and costs
15		no later than 14 days from the entry of judgment. Failure by Plaintiff to file such a
16		motion within the time provided will result in an order directing the Clerk of
17		Court to close this case.
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: _	January 29, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		