1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGETTE G. PURNELL,	No. 1:20-cv-01759-NONE-EPG (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING
13	v.	THAT THIS ACTION PROCEED ONLY ON CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT HUNT FOR
14	N. HUNT, et al.,	EXCESSIVE FORCE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 10)
16		(DOC. 140. 10)
17	Plaintiff Georgette G. Purnell is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on plaintiff's complaint against	
19	defendants N. Hunt, Luper, Garcia-Peralta, and Carter for alleged violation of plaintiff's rights	
20	after an automobile collision. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
21	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
22	On April 8, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
23	recommending that this action proceed on plaintiff's claim against defendant N. Hunt for	
24	excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment; that plaintiff's claims against defendants	
25	Luper, Garcia-Peralta and Carter for excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment be	
26	dismissed, without prejudice; and that all other claims in plaintiff's complaint be dismissed, with	
27	prejudice. (Doc. No. 10.) Those findings and recommendations were served on the plaintiff and	

contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after

service. (Id. at 4.) No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 8, 2021, (Doc. No. 10), are adopted in full; 2. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's claim against defendant N. Hunt for excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment; 3. Plaintiff's claims against defendants Luper, Garcia-Peralta and Carter for excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment are dismissed, without prejudice; and 4. All other claims in Plaintiff's complaint are dismissed, with prejudice IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 26, 2021**