	Case 1:20-cv-01802-NONE-EPG Docume	ent 21 Filed 08/02/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTHONY BARRETT,	No. 1:20-cv-01802-NONE-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	A. CIOLLI, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. Nos. 14, 15)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Anthony Barrett is a federal inmate appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388	
19	(1971). This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On May 25, 2021, plaintiff filed a motion to return property, which the assigned	
22	magistrate judge construed as a motion seeking the granting of injunctive relief. (Doc. No. 14.)	
23	On June 2, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and recommendations	
24	recommending that plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief be denied. (Doc. No. 15.) Those	
25	findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections	
26	thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days after service. (Id. at 4.) No objections have	
27	been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.	
28	///	
		1

## Case 1:20-cv-01802-NONE-EPG Document 21 Filed 08/02/21 Page 2 of 2

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
2	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the	
3	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper	
4	analysis. Among other things, the magistrate judge correctly points out that plaintiff has failed to	
5	demonstrate irreparable harm would result if his requested injunction is denied, as his allegations	
6	concern the seizure of perishable food, personal hygiene items, a radio, and other personal items.	
7	Accordingly,	
8	1. The findings and recommendations entered on June 2, 2021 (Doc. No. 15) are adopted	
9	in full; and	
10	2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 14) is denied without prejudice.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: July 31, 2021 Jale A. Drad	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	