

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICTOR CEDILLOS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DONNY YOUNGBLOOD, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:21-cv-00138-DAD-BAM (PC)  
  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING  
ACTION  
  
(Doc. No. 15)

Plaintiff Victor Cedillos is a former county jail inmate and current state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 20, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff’s complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and determined that it failed to state a cognizable claim for relief. (Doc. No. 13.) Plaintiff was granted leave to file a first amended complaint attempting to cure the deficiencies identified by the magistrate judge within thirty (30) days after service of that screening order. (*Id.* at 9.) Plaintiff was warned that his failure to file a first amended complaint in compliance with the screening order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim. (*Id.* at 9–10.) To date,

1 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal, and the time in  
2 which to do so has passed.

3 Accordingly, on June 21, 2021, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations  
4 recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to obey a court order, failure  
5 to prosecute this action, and failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No.  
6 15.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice  
7 that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 11.) To  
8 date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to  
9 do so has now passed.

10 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
11 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the  
12 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

13 Accordingly,

- 14 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 21, 2021 (Doc No. 15) are  
15 adopted;
- 16 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute  
17 this action and failure to obey a court order; and
- 18 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: July 18, 2021

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28