1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEVIN L. MILLER,	No. 1:21-cv-00176-DAD-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,	<u>INJUNCTION</u>
16	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 4, 12)
17		
18	Plaintiff Kevin L. Miller is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
19	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
20	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On February 17, 2021, plaintiff filed a motion for permanent and preliminary injunctive	
22	relief. (Doc. No. 4.) On March 5, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
23	recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief be denied. (Doc. No.	
24	12.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
25	objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 4.) To date, no	
26	objections to the pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to	
27	do so has now passed.	
28	/////	
		1

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 5, 2021 (Doc. No. 12) are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. No. 4) is denied; and 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings consistent with this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. **April 2, 2021** Dated: _