

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER JOHN WILSON,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
TUOLUMNE COUNTY, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00196-SKO (PC)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF AS  
UNNECESSARY**

(Doc. 15)

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*. On August 12, 2021, the Court issued an order denying as moot Plaintiff’s motion for a “legal runner,” “legal phone calls,” a “writing tablet,” envelopes, pencils, and an eraser. (Doc. 13.)

On September 1, 2021, Plaintiff filed a motion stating he agrees with the Court’s ruling regarding the aforementioned issues. (Doc. 15.) He requests, however, that the Court not “deny [his] rights” regarding his claims of excessive force and improper medical care. (*Id.* at 1.) Plaintiff’s motion is unnecessary. The Court only ruled on Plaintiff’s request for the abovementioned items; it has not ruled on the merits of his case.

As explained in the Court’s First Informational Order, Plaintiff’s complaint is subject to screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1915A(a). The Court will screen Plaintiff’s complaint in due course.

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 3, 2021

*/s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE