1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
6	DAVID ERNESTO MACKEY,	CASE NO. 1:21-cv-00607-AWI-GSA (PC)	
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DISMISSING CASE FOR LACK OF SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION	
8	v.		
9	MOORE, et al.,		
10	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 11)	
11			
12			
13	Plaintiff David Ernesto Mackey is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis		
14	with this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States		
15	magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).		
16	On November 22, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
17	recommendations, recommending that this case be dismissed based on lack of subject-matter		
18	jurisdiction. Doc. No. 11. The pending findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff		
19	and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen days from the		
20	date of service. Id. To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed,		
21	and the time in which to do so has now passed.		
22	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a		
23	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that		
24	the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.		
25			
26	<u>ORDER</u>		
27	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
28	1. The findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 11) that were issued on November		

1	22, 2021, are ADOPTED in full;	
2	2. This case is DISMISSED, with prejudice, for lack of subject-matter jurisdict	ion;
3	and	
4	3. The Clerk is directed to CLOSE this case.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: <u>December 20, 2021</u> SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	
	\angle	