Case 1:21-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Document 26 Filed 09/12/22 Page 1 of 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GUADALUPE RUIZ, No. 1:21-cv-00759-ADA-SKO (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 13 (Doc. No. 21) 14 ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT v. OF HABEAS CORPUS 15 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO 16 ENTER JUDGMENT AND CLOSE CASE T. CISNEROS, Warden, 17 ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE Respondent. CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY 18 19 20 Petitioner Guadalupe Ruiz is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis 21 with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter was referred 22 to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On January 26, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations 23 to deny the petition on its merits. (Doc. No. 21.) Those findings and recommendations were 24 served upon all parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within 25 26 thirty (30) days after service. On March 28, 2022, petitioner filed objections to the findings and 27 recommendations. (Doc. No. 24.) 28 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a 1

Case 1:21-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Document 26 Filed 09/12/22 Page 2 of 3

de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including petitioner's objections, the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections present no grounds for questioning the magistrate judge's analysis.

In addition, the court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 335-336 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue a certificate of appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:

- (a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.
- (b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial a person charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.
- (c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—
 - (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or
 - (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.
 - (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
 - (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must establish that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting

Case 1:21-cv-00759-ADA-SKO Document 26 Filed 09/12/22 Page 3 of 3 1 Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)). 2 In the present case, the court finds that petitioner has not made the required substantial 3 showing of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of 4 appealability. Reasonable jurists would not find the court's determination that petitioner is not 5 entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or deserving of encouragement to 6 proceed further. Thus, the court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. 7 Accordingly, 8 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 26, 2022, (Doc. No. 21), are 9 adopted in full; 2. 10 The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied with prejudice; 11 3. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment and close the case; and 12 4. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. 13 This order terminates the action in its entirety. 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Dated: September 12, 2022 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28