

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REGINALD GIBSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
E. CASTELLANOS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:21-cv-00794-DAD-GSA (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(Doc. Nos. 9, 12)

Plaintiff Reginald Gibson is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 20, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment be denied as premature because defendants have not had an opportunity to pursue discovery in this action. (Doc. No. 12.) Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days from the date of service. (*Id.*) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed with the court, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the


1 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
2 analysis.

3 Accordingly,

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 20, 2022 (Doc. No. 12) are
5 adopted;
- 6 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 9) is denied as premature; and
- 7 3. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: May 24, 2022


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28