

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

YELLOWCAKE, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

DASHGO, INC., a Delaware corporation;  
and AUDIOMICRO, INC. d/b/a ADREV, a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:21-cv-00803-AWI-BAM

**ORDER GRANTING PARTIES’  
STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF  
TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND  
TO: (1) PLAINTIFF’S REQUEST FOR  
PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANTS – SET  
ONE; AND (2) PLAINTIFF’S FIRST SET  
OF INTERROGATORIES TO  
DEFENDANTS**

(Doc. 28.)

1 On December 28, 2021, Plaintiff Yellowcake, Inc. (“Plaintiff” or “Yellowcake”) along  
2 with defendants Dashgo, Inc. (“Dashgo”) and Audiomicro, Inc. d.b.a. Adrev (“Adrev”)  
3 (collectively, “Defendants”), filed a second joint stipulation to extend the time for Defendants to  
4 respond to Plaintiff’s request for production, set one and Plaintiff’s first set of interrogatories.  
5 (Doc. 28.) The parties requested an extension of eight (8) days, from December 31, 2021 to  
6 January 7, 2022. (*Id.*) Under Local Rule 144(a), initial stipulations for extensions of time to  
7 respond to discovery requests do not require a court order. However, since this is a second  
8 request for extension, an order is required.

9 Therefore, pursuant to the Stipulation of the Parties, and good cause appearing, IT IS  
10 HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 11 1. Defendants Dashgo, Inc. and Audiomicro, Inc. d.b.a. Adrev’s written responses to  
12 Yellowcake, Inc.’s Requests for Production to Defendants – Set One are due on or  
13 before January 7, 2022.
- 14 2. Defendants Dashgo, Inc. and Audiomicro, Inc. d.b.a. Adrev’s written responses to  
15 Yellowcake, Inc.’s First Set of Interrogatories are due on or before January 7, 2022.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 Dated: January 6, 2022

20 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe  
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE