1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IKEEM JARMER HUDSON,	No. 1:21-cv-00861-NONE-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	V.	(Doc. No. 14)
14	J. VASQUEZCOY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Ikeem Jarmer Hudson is (or was) committed at the Metropolitan State	
18	Hospital and proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On June 24, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge screened the complaint, concluding that	
22	all claims and defendants should be dismissed, except for plaintiff's excessive-force claim against	
23	defendant J. Vasquezcoy. (Doc. No. 5.) After Plaintiff notified the court that he wished to	
24	proceed only on the excessive-force claim against defendant J. Vasquezcoy, the assigned	
25	magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations on July 27, 2021, consistent with the	
26	screening order. (Doc. Nos. 7, 11).	
27	On October 13, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
28	to dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff had failed to prosecute this case further	

1	and comply with court orders, specifically by failing to complete and return documents necessary	
2	for service and to keep the court updated as to his current mailing address. (Doc. No. 14.)	
3	Plaintiff was given fourteen days to file any objections. To date, plaintiff has not filed any	
4	objections, and the time to do so has since expired.	
5	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
6	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
7	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.	
8	Accordingly,	
9	1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 13, 2021, (Doc. No. 14), are	
10	adopted in full;	
11	2. Now being moot, the Clerk of Court is directed to term as no longer pending the	
12	findings and recommendations issued on July 27, 2021, (Doc. No. 11);	
13	3. This case is dismissed, without prejudice, because of plaintiff's failure to serve,	
14	prosecute, comply with the court's orders, and update the court as to his mailing	
15	address; and	
16	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this action for	
17	purposes of closure and to close this case.	
18		
19	Dated: November 19, 2021 Jale A. Dryd	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	