

1
2
3
4
5
6
7UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28ALFRED R. SOSA,
Petitioner,
v.CORCORAN STATE PRISON,
Respondent.Case No. 21-04329 EJD (PR)
ORDER OF TRANSFER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging a disciplinary proceeding that occurred while he was housed at California State Prison (CSP) – Sacramento, and resulted the loss of credits. Dkt. No. 5-1 at 35, 40.

Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Federal courts in California traditionally have chosen to hear petitions challenging a conviction or sentence in the district of conviction or sentencing. See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(1); Dannenberg v. Ingle, 831 F. Supp. 767, 768 (N.D. Cal. 1993); Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). But if the petition challenges the manner in which a sentence is being executed, e.g., if it involves parole or time credits claims, the district of confinement is the preferable forum. See

1 Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(2); Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989).

2 Here, the petition involves time credit claims and Petitioner is currently confined at
3 CSP-Corcoran in Kings County. Dkt. 5 at 1. Kings County lies within the venue of the
4 Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Therefore, venue properly lies in
5 that district and not in this one. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Accordingly, this case is
6 TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.
7 See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

8 The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and transfer the entire file to the
9 Eastern District of California.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 **Dated: July 15, 2021**



EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge

25 Order of Transfer
PRO-SE\EJD\HC.21\04329Sosa_transfer(ED)