

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISRAEL MALDONADO RAMIREZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
KHALE, *et al.*,
Defendants.

1:21-cv-01213-DAD- EPG
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO ANSWER
(ECF No. 18)

Plaintiff is a civil detainee who filed this civil rights action *pro se* on August 11, 2021. On November 18, 2021, the District Judge adopted this Court’s September 28, 2021 findings and recommendations to dismiss this case as frivolous and directed the Clerk to close this case. (ECF Nos. 11, 16). The same day as the District Court issued this order, Plaintiff filed a “motion to answer.” (ECF No. 18). The motion is difficult to understand, but it appears to ask if the Clerk received Plaintiff’s objections to the undersigned’s findings and recommendations and seeks permission to file an answer.

To the extent that Plaintiff simply wants to know if his objections were received, the Court notes that they were filed on October 27, 2021, but the District Court ultimately overruled them in adopting this Court’s findings and recommendations. (ECF Nos. 13, 16). To the extent that Plaintiff moves for permission to file an answer, such a filing is procedurally inappropriate because this case is now closed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to answer (ECF No. 18) is denied.
Plaintiff is advised that judgment has been entered against him and this case is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2021

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE