

1 The Court granted the parties 14 days from the date of service to file objections to the
2 recommendation of the magistrate judge. (Doc. 21 at 12.) The Court advised the parties “that failure
3 to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the district judge’s order.”
4 (*Id.*, citing *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 834 (9th Cir. 2014)). Thus, any objections were due
5 no later than November 17, 2022. To date, no objections have been filed.

6 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and *Britt v. Simi Valley United School Dist.*, 708 F.2d
7 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed
8 the matter, the Court finds the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper
9 analysis. Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 10 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 3, 2022 (Doc. 21) are **ADOPTED**
11 **IN FULL**.
- 12 2. Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (Doc. 15) is **GRANTED**.
- 13 3. Defendant’s cross-motion for summary judgment (Doc. 19) is **DENIED**.
- 14 4. This matter is **REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for
15 further proceedings consistent with this decision.
- 16 5. The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Georgina
17 Nardone, on behalf of minor child I.F., and against Defendant Acting Commissioner of
18 Social Security, and to **CLOSE** this action.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: November 21, 2022


22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE