1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No.: 1:21-cv-01495 JLT CDB RONALD F. MARTINEZ, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS A CERTAIN CLAIM 13 v. (Doc. 38) 14 C. RODRIGUEZ, 15 Defendant. 16 Ronald F. Martinez seeks to hold C. Rodriguez, a correctional officer at CSP Corcoran, 17 liable for violations of his civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 34.) The magistrate 18 judge screened Plaintiff's amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), and found he 19 20 stated a cognizable claim for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment. However, the magistrate judge found "Plaintiff fails to state a due process violation" by Defendant. (Doc. 38 at 21 22 3-11.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the action proceed on Plaintiff's retaliation claim and "[t]he remaining claim or claims be dismissed." (*Id.* at 11, emphasis omitted.) 23 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that 24 any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 38 at 11.) The Court advised him that the "failure 25 to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of certain rights on appeal." 26 (Id. at 12, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) In response, 27 Plaintiff filed a "Statement of No Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and 28

Recommendations," indicating that he "wants to proceed on the retaliation allegations, claim(s) against defendant Officer Rodriguez." (Doc. 39 at 1.) According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on December 19, 2024 (Doc. 38) are **ADOPTED** in full. 2. This action **PROCEEDS** only on the claim for retaliation in violation of Plaintiff's First Amendment rights. Any remaining claim or claims are **DISMISSED**. 3. 4. This matter is referred to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. **January 7, 2025** Dated: