1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GUILLERMO TRUJILLO CRUZ,	No. 1:21-cv-01552-NONE-GSA-PC
12		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	Plaintiff,	RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
14		PAUPERIS AND REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO PAY \$402.00 FILING FEE IN FULL WITHIN
15	SAVOIE, et al., Defendants.	THIRTY DAYS
16	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 2 and 5)
17		
18	Plaintiff Guillermo Trujillo Cruz is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil	
19	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
20	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On October 25, 2021, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that	
22	plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and	
23	plaintiff be required to submit the \$402.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. (Doc. No. 5.)	
24	On November 10, 2021, plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc.	
25	No. 11.)	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this	
27	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
28	including plaintiff's objections, the court fir	nds the findings and recommendations to be

11

1

1	supported by the record and proper analysis. Plaintiff's objections do not undermine the well-		
2	reasoned conclusion of the findings and recommendations that plaintiff has failed to satisfy the		
3	imminent danger exception to the three strikes rule set forth at 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).		
4	Accordingly,		
5	1.	The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on October	
6		25, 2021 (Doc. No. 5), are adopted in full;	
7	2.	Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, filed on October 21, 2021	
8		(Doc. No. 2.), is denied;	
9	3.	Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, plaintiff is required to pay	
10		the \$402.00 filing fee in full for this action; and	
11	4.	Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order shall result in the dismissal of this	
12		action.	
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 20, 2021 Dale A. Dad		
15	Dated:	November 20, 2021 July UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	