

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD W. F. JOSHUA LOUREIRO,
JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANTORO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-01599-AWI-BAM (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING
DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AND
DEFENDANTS

(ECF No. 18)

Plaintiff Ronald W. F. Joshua Loureiro, Jr. (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On June 8, 2022, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action proceed on Plaintiff’s first amended complaint, filed May 5, 2022, (ECF No. 16), against Defendant John Doe hearing officer for denial of due process in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment for the disciplinary hearing, on or about March 18, 2021, regarding the Rules Violation Report (Logged #0000007065425, dated 2/25/21) at North Kern State Prison. (ECF No. 18.) The Magistrate Judge further recommended that all other claims and defendants be dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff’s failure to state claims upon which relief may be granted. (*Id.*) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 11.) No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a
2 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the
3 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 8, 2022, (ECF No. 18), are adopted
6 in full;
- 7 2. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed May 5, 2022,
8 (ECF No. 16), against Defendant John Doe hearing officer for denial of due process in
9 violation of the Fourteenth Amendment;
- 10 3. All other claims and all other defendants are dismissed from this action for failure to
11 state a claim upon which relief may be granted; and
- 12 4. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for proceedings consistent with
13 this order.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: September 8, 2022

16 
17 _____
18 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28