

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRANDON ALEXANDER FAVOR,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MARION JUNE KNIGHT, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:21-cv-01617-DAD-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING  
PLAINTIFF’S APPLICATION TO PROCEED  
IN FORMA PAUPERIS

(Doc. Nos. 2, 3)

Plaintiff Brandon Alexander Favor is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 9, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and that plaintiff be required to pay the \$402.00 filing fee in full to proceed with this action. (Doc. No. 3.) Specifically, the magistrate judge found that plaintiff has accrued three or more prior dismissals that count as strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and that his current allegations do not satisfy the imminent danger exception to § 1915(g). (*Id.* at 2–4.) Plaintiff has also reported significant income. (*Id.* at 2.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that objections thereto were

1 to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 4.) Plaintiff timely filed objections to  
2 the findings and recommendations on November 29, 2021. (Doc. No. 4.) Those objections do  
3 not appear to address the magistrate judge's reasoning or conclusions set forth in the pending  
4 findings and recommendations. (*See id.*)

5 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
6 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including plaintiff's  
7 objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and  
8 proper analysis.

9 Accordingly,

- 10 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 9, 2021 (Doc. No. 3) are  
11 adopted in full;
- 12 2. Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is denied;
- 13 3. Within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall  
14 pay the required filing fee of \$402.00 in full to proceed with this action; and
- 15 4. Failure to pay the filing fee within the allotted time will result in dismissal of this  
16 action.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: December 7, 2021

19   
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28