1			
1			
2			
3 4			
4 5			
5 6			
0 7			
, 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
10	YOHANNES GEBREZGIE,	No. 1:21-cv-01626-DAD-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
14	K. PHILLIPS, et al.,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 13)	
16	Dorondunts.		
17			
18	Plaintiff Yohannes Gebrezgie is procee	eding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action filed pursuant	
19	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28		
20	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
21	On May 11, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,		
22	recommending that this case be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim,		
23	failure to comply with a court order, and failure to prosecute. (Doc. No. 13.) Those findings and		
24	recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were		
25	to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (Id.) To date, no objections have been filed with		
26	the court and the time in which to do so has now passed.		
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this		
28	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
		1	

1	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
2	analysis.	
3	Accordingly,	
4	1. The findings and recommendations issued on May 11, 2022 (Doc. No. 13) are	
5	adopted;	
6	2. This action is dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim,	
7	failure to prosecute, and failure to obey a court order; and	
8	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: June 6, 2022 Jale A. Dryd	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	