| 1 | | |
|--------|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| , 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | MARVIN HARRIS, | Case No. 1:21-cv-01684-AWI-SKO (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY |
| 13 | V. | PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS |
| 14 | THERESA C., et al., | (Doc. 6) |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff Marvin Harris, a state prisoner, proceeding pro se in this civil rights action | |
| 19 | brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate | |
| 20 | judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | |
| 21 | On December 15, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and | |
| 22 | recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied, | |
| 23 | and that this action be dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff has accrued more than three | |
| 24 | "strike" dismissals under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the allegations in his complaint fail to show | |
| 25 | that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. (Doc. No. 6.) The magistrate judge | |
| 26 | provided Plaintiff 14 days to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (Id. at 3.) | |
| 27 | Plaintiff did not file any objections, and the tir | ne to do so has passed. |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | The Court notes Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on January 5, 2022 (Doc. No. 9); | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | however, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal (Case No. 22-15086) for a lack | |
| 3 | of jurisdiction on March 16, 2022. (See Doc. No. 12.) | |
| 4 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a | |
| 5 | de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the | |
| 6 | findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. | |
| 7 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
| 8 | The findings and recommendations issued on December 15, 2021 (Doc. No. 6) are ADOPTED in full; Plaintiff's motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> (Doc. No. 3) is DENIED; This action is DISMISSED without prejudice to refiling upon prepayment of the filing | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | fee; and, 4. The Clark of the Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and to close this | |
| 14 | 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and to close this | |
| 15 | case. | |
| 16 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 17 | Dated: June 10, 2022 | |
| 18 | SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | | |