

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY ANDRE SHARP,
Plaintiff,
v.
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:22-cv-00012-DAD-HBK (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(Doc. No. 7)

Plaintiff Anthony Andre Sharp is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 8, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied and that he be required to pay the \$402.00 filing fee in full to proceed with this action because: (1) he is subject to the three strikes bar under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); and (2) the allegations of plaintiff’s complaint do not satisfy the “imminent danger of serious physical injury” exception to § 1915(g). (Doc. No. 7.) Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.*) To date, no objections have been filed with the court and the time in which to do so has now passed.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
2 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings
3 and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly,

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 8, 2022 (Doc. No. 7) are
6 adopted;
- 7 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is denied;
- 8 3. Within twenty-one (21) days following service of this order, plaintiff shall pay the
9 required \$402.00 filing fee in full to proceed with this action; and
- 10 4. Plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee within the specified time will result in the
11 dismissal of this action.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: May 24, 2022

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28