

## Case 1:22-cv-00162-ADA-BAM Document 23 Filed 11/28/22 Page 2 of 3

1	In addition, Defendant indicated in the request to extend the responsive pleading deadline
2	that Plaintiff has apparently paroled without informing the Court of his current address or
3	telephone number. (ECF No. 19, pp. 2, 4.) A search of CDCR's Inmate Locator appears to
4	confirm Defendant's assertion that Plaintiff is no longer in the custody of CDCR. <sup>1</sup>
5	Plaintiff has not filed a notice of change of address or otherwise communicated with the
6	Court.
7	II. Discussion
8	Plaintiff is required to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Local
9	Rule 183(b) provides:
10	Address Changes. A party appearing in propria persona shall keep the Court and
11	opposing parties advised as to his or her current address. If mail directed to a plaintiff <u>in propria persona</u> by the Clerk is returned by the U.S. Postal Service, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the Court and opposing parties within sixty-three (63)
12	
13	days thereafter of a current address, the Court may dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute.
14	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) also provides for dismissal of an action for failure to
15	prosecute. <sup>2</sup>
16	Plaintiff's address change was due no later than November 4, 2022. Plaintiff has failed to
17	file a change of address and he has not otherwise been in contact with the Court. "In determining
18	whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, the district court is required to weigh several
19	factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to
20	manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring
21	disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." Carey v.
22	King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); accord
23	Omstead v. Dell, Inc., 594 F.3d 1081, 1084 (9th Cir. 2010); In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)
24	Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006). These factors guide a court in
25	<sup>1</sup> The Court may take judicial notice of public information stored on the CDCR Inmate Locator website. See In re
26	Yahoo Mail Litig., 7 F. Supp. 3d. 1016, 1024 (N.D. Cal. 2014) (court may take judicial notice of information on "publicly accessible websites" not subject to reasonable dispute); Louis v. McCormick & Schmick Restaurant Corp.,
27	460 F. Supp. 2d. 1153, 1155 n.4 (C.D. Cal. 2006) (court may take judicial notice of state agency records).
28	<sup>2</sup> Courts may dismiss actions sua sponte under Rule 41(b) based on the plaintiff's failure to prosecute. <i>Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U. S. Forest Serv.</i> , 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (citation omitted).
	2

## Case 1:22-cv-00162-ADA-BAM Document 23 Filed 11/28/22 Page 3 of 3

deciding what to do, and are not conditions that must be met in order for a court to take action. *In re PPA*, 460 F.3d at 1226 (citation omitted).

Given Plaintiff's failure to respond to this Court's order, the expeditious resolution of
litigation and the Court's need to manage its docket weigh in favor of dismissal. *Id.* at 1227.
More importantly, given the Court's apparent inability to communicate with Plaintiff, there are no
other reasonable alternatives available to address Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and his
failure to apprise the Court of his current address. *Id.* at 1228–29; *Carey*, 856 F.2d at 1441. The
Court will therefore recommend that this action be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to
prosecute this action.

10

## III. Conclusion and Recommendation

11 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY RECOMMENDS that this action be dismissed, without 12 prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 183(b). 13 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District 14 Judge assigned to the case, under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) 15 **days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, the parties may file written 16 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's 17 Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the 18 specified time may result in the waiver of the "right to challenge the magistrate's factual 19 findings" on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. 20 Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 1T IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 27, 2022

15/ Barbara A. McAuli

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE