Case 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM Documer	nt 11 Filed 05/16/22 Page 1 of 2
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
JESUS RAMIREZ,	No. 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
V.	RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR
CDCR,	FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER AND FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
Defendant.	(Doc. 10)
Plaintiff Jesus Ramirez is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On February 15, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order directing Plaintiff to	
submit a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$402.00 filing fee to	
proceed with this action. (Doc. 7.) Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply with the court's	
order would result in dismissal of this action. (Id.) Plaintiff did respond to the Court's order or	
otherwise communicate with the Court.	
Therefore, on April 18, 2022, the magi	strate judge issued findings and recommendations
recommending dismissal of this action, without prejudice, for failure to obey a court order and	
failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. 10.) Those findings and recommendations were served on	
Plaintiff and contained notice that any objection	ons thereto were to be filed within fourteen days
	1
	EASTERN DISTE JESUS RAMIREZ, Plaintiff, v. CDCR, Defendant. Plaintiff Jesus Ramirez is a state prison pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action was pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Loc On February 15, 2022, the assigned mas submit a completed application to proceed <i>in y</i> proceed with this action. (Doc. 7.) Plaintiff w order would result in dismissal of this action. otherwise communicate with the Court. Therefore, on April 18, 2022, the magin recommending dismissal of this action, without failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. 10.) The

Case 1:22-cv-00182-JLT-BAM Document 11 Filed 05/16/22 Page 2 of 2

1	after service. (Id. at 3.) No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has now passed.	
2	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a	
3	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that	
4	the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper	
5	analysis.	
6	6 Based on the foregoing, the Court ORDERS :	
7	1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 18, 2022, (Doc. 10), are	
8	adopted in full;	
9	2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to obey a	
10	court order and failure to prosecute; and	
11	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: May 15, 2022	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		