UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ISAIAH B. RAMIREZ,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00604-HBK (HC)
Petitioner,	ORDER CONSTRUING PETITION AS MOTION TO AMEND PETITION IN CASE
v.	NO. 1:22-cv-00295-HBK
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO RE-FILE INSTANT PETITION AS MOTION
	TO AMEND IN CASE NO. 1:22-cv-00295- HBK
1	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO
	CLOSE THIS CASE
	'
Petitioner Isaiah B. Ramirez, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this instant petition	
for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on May 10, 2022. (Doc. No. 1). The Court	
takes judicial notice of its own files which reveal that Petitioner has a previously filed federal	
petition in this Court challenging the same conviction at case number 1:22-cv-00295-HBK. Thus	
the Court construes the filing as a motion to amend the petition filed in Petitioner's earlier case	
and orders this matter closed.	
In <i>Woods v. Carey</i> , the Ninth Circuit held that, under certain circumstances, if a pro se	
petitioner files a habeas petition during the pendency of a previous petition, the district court	
should construe the second petition as a motion to amend the previous petition. 525 F.3d 886,	
	FOR THE EASTERN I ISAIAH B. RAMIREZ, Petitioner, V. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Respondent. Petitioner Isaiah B. Ramirez, a state pr for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 22 takes judicial notice of its own files which rev petition in this Court challenging the same cor the Court construes the filing as a motion to an and orders this matter closed. In Woods v. Carey, the Ninth Circuit h petitioner files a habeas petition during the per

889-90 (9th Cir. 2008). Hence, Woods appears to require a district court to construe a "second or

successive" petition filed while an earlier petition is still pending in the district court as a motion to amend the earlier petition. Because Petitioner has a pending federal habeas petition in case number 1:22-cv-00295-HBK, which challenges the same conviction as in this case, the Court must construe this Petition as a motion to amend the earlier-filed petition. See id. at 889-90. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 1. The Court construes the Petition (Doc. No. 1) as a motion to amend the Petition in case number 1:22-cv-00295-HBK. 2. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to docket the Petition in this case as a motion to amend in case number 1:22-cv-00295-HBK. 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. Dated: July 20, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE