1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TREMAYNE CARROLL, Case No. 1:22-cv-00363-AWI-BAM (PC) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING 13 v. PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PERMANENT AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 14 CDCR, et al., (ECF No. 12) 15 Defendants. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER 16 (ECF No. 13) 17 Plaintiff Tremayne Carroll ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma 18 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff's complaint has not yet 19 20 been screened. 21 On April 20, 2022, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations 22 recommending that Plaintiff's motion or permanent and preliminary injunction be denied. (ECF No. 12.) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that 23 24 any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 4.) Plaintiff 25 has not filed objections, and the deadline to do so has expired. 26 On April 21, 2022, Plaintiff filed a second motion for temporary restraining order. (ECF 27 No. 13.) As Plaintiff's second motion largely reiterates the factual allegations and request for 28 relief set forth in her first motion, the undersigned considers the two motions together.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's second motion for temporary restraining order, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 20, 2022, (ECF No. 12), are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for permanent and preliminary injunction, (ECF No. 10), is denied;
- 3. Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order, (ECF No. 13), is denied; and
- 4. The matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings consistent with this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>June 10, 2022</u>

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE