

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID WAYNE WILSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
LURA MERRITT, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No.: 1:22-cv-00455-JLT-CDB (PC)
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR PROTECTION
ORDER
(Doc. 31)

The assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations to deny Plaintiff’s “Motion for Protection Order,” which the Court construed as a motion for temporary and/or permanent injunctive relief. (Doc. 31.) The Court advised the Plaintiff that any objections were due within 14 days of the date of service (*Id.* at 6) and that the “[f]ailure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of rights on appeal.” (*Id.*, citing *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014); *Baxter v. Sullivan*, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has expired.

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 21, 2023 (Doc. 31) are **ADOPTED** in full.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. 26) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 19, 2023


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE