1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
7 8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID WAYNE WILSON,	Case No.: 1:22-cv-00455-JLT-CDB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
13	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS	
14	LURA MERRITT, et al.,	FOLLOWING SCREENING OF PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 34)	
16			
17	Plaintiff David Wayne Wilson seeks to hold the defendants liable for civil rights		
18	violations pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	The magistrate judge recommended this action proceed only on Plaintiff's Eighth		
21	Amendment deliberate indifference to serious medical needs claims against Defendants Fishburn,		
22	Merritt and Carlson, in their individual capacities; First Amendment retaliation claim against		
23	Defendant Merritt, in her individual capacity;	Fourteenth Amendment equal protection clause	
24	claim against Defendant Carlson, in her individual capacity; state law equal protection clause		
25	claim against Defendant Carlson, in her individual capacity; and that the remaining claims and		
26	defendants to be dismissed. (Doc. 34.) The magistrate judge advised Plaintiff that the "failure to		
27		esult in waiver of his rights on appeal." (Id. at 18,	
28	citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839	9 (9th Cir. 2014); Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d	

1	1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). To date, no objections have been filed.	
2	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court conducted a de novo review of this	
3	case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and	
4	Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court	
5	ORDERS:	
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued November 21, 2023 (Doc. 34) are	
7	ADOPTED in full.	
8	2. This action <b>PROCEEDS</b> on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to	
9	serious medical needs claims against Defendants Fishburn, Merritt and Carlson, in	
10	their individual capacities; First Amendment retaliation claim against Defendant	
11	Merritt, in her individual capacity; Fourteenth Amendment equal protection clause	
12	claim against Defendant Carlson, in her individual capacity; and state law equal	
13	protection clause claim against Defendant Carlson, in her individual capacity, pursuant	
14	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
15	3. The following Defendants are <b>DISMISSED</b> from this action:	
16	a. Public Entity of CDCR in Corcoran Calif	
17	b. Jessia Huffman	
18	c. Registered Nurses at SATF	
19	d. S. Thomas	
20	e. E. Johnson	
21	f. Melisa Fritz	
22	g. Godwin Ugwueze	
23	h. Clarence Cryer, Jr;	
24	i. California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	
25	j. Public Entity State Administration of Prisons in Sacramento CA	
26	4. The remaining claims in Plaintiff's first amended complaint are <b>DISMISSED</b> .	
27	///	
28	/// 2	

1	5. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: December 11, 2023
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	5