

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANTHONY RAYMOND IRIGOYEN,)	Case No.: 1:22-cv-00483-SAB (PC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO
v.)	RANDOMLY ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE TO
)	THIS ACTION
VERNON H. WARNKE, et al.,)	
)	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Defendants.)	RECOMMENDING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO
)	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE DENIED
)	
)	(ECF Nos.4, 5)
)	

Plaintiff Anthony Raymond Irigoyen is proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Plaintiff filed the instant complaint on April 25, 2022. Currently before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF Nos. 4, 5.)

**I.
LEGAL STANDARD**

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to bring a civil action “without prepayment of fees or security thereof” if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff’s “is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor.” A prisoner seeking to bring a civil action must, in addition to filing an affidavit, “submit a certified copy of the trust fund account statement ... for the 6-month

1 period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint ... obtained from the appropriate official of
2 each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

3 **II.**

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 Plaintiff has filed an application declaring that, due to his poverty, he is unable to pre-pay the
6 full amount of fees and costs for these proceedings or give security therefor, and that he believes that
7 he is entitled to the relief sought in his complaint. Plaintiff attached a copy his inmate statement report
8 from Valley State Prison. (ECF Nos. 4, 5.) The statement provides the activity in Plaintiff’s inmate
9 trust account from November 8, 2021 to June 3, 2022, and reflects that Plaintiff currently has an
10 available sum of \$1,876.00 on account to his credit at the Merced County Jail. Thus, the available
11 balance in Plaintiff’s account reflects that he can pay the \$402.00 filing fee for this action.

12 Based on the foregoing, the information that Plaintiff has provided to the Court reflects that he
13 is financially able to pre-pay the entire filing fee to commence this action. Although the Ninth Circuit
14 Court of Appeals has held that “the filing fee ... should not take the prisoner’s last dollar,” Olivares v.
15 Marshall, 59 F.3d 109, 112 (9th Cir. 1995), in these circumstances, it appears Plaintiff has sufficient
16 funds to pre-pay the \$402.00 filing fee with money left over. Should Plaintiff have additional
17 information to provide the Court, or should his available balance change by the time he receives this
18 order, he may notify the Court. However, the Court has the authority to consider any reasons and
19 circumstances for any change in Plaintiff’s available assets and funds. See also Collier v. Tatum, 722
20 F.2d 653, 656 (11th Cir. 1983) (district court may consider an unexplained decrease in an inmate’s
21 trust account, or whether an inmate’s account has been depleted intentionally to avoid court costs).
22 Therefore, Plaintiff’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis must be denied. If Plaintiff wishes to
23 proceed with this action, Plaintiff must pre-pay the \$402.00 filing fee in full.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

ORDER AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court to randomly assign a Fresno District Judge to this action.

Further, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, (ECF Nos. 4, 5), be DENIED; and
2. Plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$402.00 filing fee in full in order to proceed with this action.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within **fourteen (14)** days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that the failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the "right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings" on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 27, 2022



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE