1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAPHAEL GRAHAM,	No. 1:22-cv-00504-ADA-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	WRIGHT,	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS
15	Defendant.	(ECF No. 12)
16		
17	Plaintiff Raphael Graham is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On August 1, 2022, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations	
20	suggesting that this action proceed on Plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed July 25, 2022.	
21	(ECF No. 11.) That complaint charges Defendant Wright with violating the Fourth Amendment	
22	on September 13, 2021 for conducting a strip search with an activated body camera, exposing	
23	Plaintiff's genitals on camera, and retaliating against Plaintiff in violation of the First	
24	Amendment. (ECF No. 12.) The Magistrate Judge further recommended that all other claims be	
25	dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state claims upon which relief may be	
26	granted. (Id.) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice	
27	that any objections were to be filed within fou	rteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 8.) No

objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted	
2	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the	
3	findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
4	Accordingly,	
5	1. The findings and recommendations issued August 1, 2022, (ECF No. 12), are	
6	adopted in full'	
7	2. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed July 25,	
8	2022, (ECF No. 11), against Defendant Wright for conducting a strip search on	
9	September 13, 2021 with an activated body camera in violation of the Fourth	
10	Amendment and for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment;	
11	3. All other claims are dismissed from this action for failure to state a claim upon	
12	which relief may be granted; and	
13	4. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for proceedings consistent	
14	with this order.	
15		
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: October 3, 2022 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		