

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 TERRANCE MARSH, Case No. 1:22-cv-00508-JLT-BAK (SKO)

11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 **ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
PERMISSION TO FILE
ELECTRONICALLY**

14 (Doc. 4)

15 BANK OF SIERRA, INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____ /
18

19 Before the Court is Plaintiff Terrance Marsh's Motion for Permission for Electronic Case
20 Filing (the "Motion"). (Doc. 4.) Local Rule 133(b)(2) provides that "[a]ny person appearing pro se
21 may **not** utilize electronic filing except with the permission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate
22 Judge." Instead, "[a]ll pro se parties shall file and serve paper documents as required by applicable
23 Federal Rules of Civil . . . Procedure or by these [Local] Rules." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 133(b)(2).
24 Nonetheless, a pro se party may "[request] to use paper or electronic filing as exceptions from these
25 Rules" if (1) they submit a stipulation between the parties "as provided in [Local Rule] 143;" or (2)
26 "if a stipulation cannot be had," by a "written motion[] setting out an explanation of reasons for the
27 exception." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 133(b)(3).

28

1 Here, the Motion is neither stipulated to by the defendants, nor does it set forth an
2 explanation of the reasons for the exception. The Court therefore finds that it is inappropriate in
3 this matter to depart from the Local Rule that “[a]ny person appearing pro se may **not** utilize
4 electronic filing.” E.D. Cal. Local Rule 133(b)(2). Accordingly, the Court DENIES the Motion
5 (Doc. 4), subject to renewal upon a showing of sufficient reason to justify deviating from Local Rule
6 133(b)(2).

7

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9

10 Dated: May 10, 2022

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28