

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 CLIFTON WILLIAMS, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
15 CALIFORNIA, et al.,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00532-AWI-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL, AND  
DISMISSING ACTION FOR FAILURE TO  
STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM

(ECF No. 1, 5, 7)

17 Clifton Williams, Jr. (“Plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this  
18 civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States  
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Eastern District of California Local  
20 Rule 302.

21 On May 6, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,  
22 recommending that this action be dismissed for Plaintiff’s failure to state a cognizable claim.  
23 (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff was granted fourteen days in which to file objections to the findings and  
24 recommendations, and on May 16, 2022, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and  
25 recommendations. (ECF No. 7.)

26 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and Eastern District of  
27 California Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having  
28 carefully reviewed the entire file, including the filed objections, the Court concludes that the

1 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on May 6,  
4 2022 (ECF No. 5), are ADOPTED in full; and  
5 2. This action is DISMISSED for failure to state a cognizable claim.

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: June 3, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28