

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
6

7 MARIA BUSTOS RAMIREZ, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 MERILL GARDENS, LLC,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00542-SAB

ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER  
REQUIRING COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  
HOLGUIN TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED  
FOR FAILING TO APPEAR AT FINAL  
APPROVAL HEARING

(ECF Nos. 59, 60, 61)

12  
13 On May 1, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a motion for final approval of the class and  
14 representative action settlement. (ECF No. 55.) Defendant filed a notice of non-opposition on  
15 May 15, 2024. (ECF No. 56.) A hearing on the motion for final approval was held on May 29,  
16 2024, and there was no appearance at the hearing by Plaintiff Holguin. On that same date an  
17 order issued requiring counsel for Plaintiff Holguin to show cause why monetary sanctions  
18 should not issue for the failure to appear at the final approval hearing. (ECF No. 59.) On June 3,  
19 2024, counsel Jonathan Melmed and counsel Mehrdad Bokhour filed responses to the order to  
20 show. The Court has reviewed the responses and the order to show cause shall be discharged.

21 Accordingly, the order requiring counsel for Plaintiff Holguin to show cause why  
22 sanctions should not be imposed for the failure to appear at the May 29, 2024 final approval  
23 hearing is HEREBY DISCHARGED.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25  
26 Dated: June 4, 2024

27  
28   
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE