

1 claims, and she objects to the court’s previous instruction that she pay the filing fee and requests
2 either a stay or waiver of the filing fee, but she does not meaningfully address the findings and
3 recommendations. *See* Doc. 25. Here, Plaintiff was previously granted an opportunity the cure
4 the defects in her complaint but failed to do so in the first amended complaint. Thus, dismissal of
5 Plaintiff’s case is appropriate and mandatory. *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir.
6 2000) (“It is clear that section 1915(e) not only permits but requires a district court to dismiss an
7 in forma pauperis complaint that fails to state a claim.”).

8 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the Court finds
9 the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

10 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

- 11 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 13, 2023 (Doc. 22) are
12 ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 13 2. Plaintiff’s first amended complaint is DISMISSED, with prejudice, for failure to
14 state a claim upon which relief can be granted; and
- 15 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and to CLOSE
16 this case.

17
18
19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: November 25, 2024



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28