

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 CHRIS EPPERSON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 YOHANNA RENEE KERR,

15 Defendant.  
16

Case No. 1:22-cv-01195-JLT-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATION THAT PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN*  
*FORMA PAUPERIS* BE DENIED

(Docs. 2, 5)

**21-DAY DEADLINE**

17 The assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations that Plaintiff's  
18 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied and that Plaintiff be required to pay the  
19 \$402.00 filing fee in full to proceed with this action because the initially filed application  
20 contained insufficient information, and the Plaintiff did not file a long form application as  
21 ordered. (Doc. 10.) Those findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff, and it  
22 contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within 14 days after service. (*Id.* at  
23 2.) The findings and recommendations explained also that if Plaintiff filed the long form  
24 application that demonstrated entitled to proceed without payment of fees, the Court would vacate  
25 the findings and recommendations. Plaintiff did not file objections nor a long form application.

26 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the  
27 case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's objections, the Court  
28 concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record

1 and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 2 1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 19, 2022, (Doc. 5), are **ADOPTED**  
3 **IN FULL**.
- 4 2. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*  
5 *pauperis*, (Doc. 2), is **DENIED**.<sup>1</sup>
- 6 3. Within **21 days** following the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall pay the \$402.00  
7 filing fee in full to proceed with this action. If Plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee within  
8 the specified time, this action will be dismissed without further notice.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: **November 17, 2022**

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The Magistrate Judge's order requiring a long form application denied the initial application  
28 without prejudice, and to the extent this Court is conducting a *de novo* review, the Court finds the  
initial application should be denied based on insufficient information and the failure to file a long  
form application as ordered.