	Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB Docu	ment 19	Filed 01/03/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3 4				
4 5				
6				
7	<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</b>			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9		1		
10	GENE RAYMOND MATTHEWS, III,		o. 1:22-cv-01508-S	
11	Plaintiff,	TO RAN	NDOMLY ASSIG	
12	V.		TO THIS ACTIO	
13	S. RAMOS, et al.,	RECOM	GS AND RECOM	
14	Defendants.		IN CLAIMS	
15		(ECF No	os. 16, 17)	
16				
17	Plaintiff Gene Raymond Matthews, III is proceeding pro se in this civil rights action filed			
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.			
19	On December 9, 2022, the Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint, and found			
20	that he stated a cognizable claim against Defendants S. Ramos and G. King for failing to			
21	intervene during the use of assault by fellow inmates on November 1, 2021, and subsequently			
22	using force on Plaintiff. Plaintiff also stated a cognizable claim for excessive force against			
23	Defendants Ujeda and John Does one through three for also allegedly using excessive force upon			
24	him on November 1, 2021. (ECF No. 16.) However, Plaintiff failed to state any other			
25	cognizable claims. Plaintiff was granted the opportunity to file an amended complaint or notify			
26	the Court of his intent to proceed on the claims found to be cognizable. (Id.) On December 27,			
27	2022, Plaintiff filed a notice of intent to proceed on the claims found to be cognizable. (ECF No.			
28	17.)			

## Case 1:22-cv-01508-JLT-SAB Document 19 Filed 01/03/23 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a District
 Judge to this action

3

Further, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- This action proceed against Defendants S. Ramos and G. King for failing to
  intervene during the use of assault by fellow inmates on November 1, 2021, and
  subsequently using force on Plaintiff, and against Defendants Ujeda and John
  Does one through three for alleged use of excessive force on November 1, 2021;
  and
- 9 2. All other claims be dismissed from the action for failure to state a cognizable
  10 claim for relief.

11 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District 12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen 13 (14) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file 14 written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections 15 within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 16 17 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 18 1991)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: **January 3, 2023** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE