1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRUCE CREAMER,	No. 1:23-cv-00139-ADA-CDB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING ACTION FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDERS (ECF No. 16)
13	v.	
14	CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON DELANO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Bruce Creamer is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On September 20, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations,	
21	recommending that the Court dismiss this action due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure	
22	to obey court orders. (ECF No. 16.) Specifically, the findings and recommendations note that	
23	Plaintiff failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address, resulting in the return of the	
24	Court's first screening order on July 17, 2023. (Id. at 2–4.) Pro se parties are "under a continuing	
25	duty to notify the Clerk and all other parties of any change of address." E.D. Cal. R. 182(f).	
26	"Absent such notice, service of documents at the prior address of the pro se party shall be	
27	fully effective." Id. If mail is returned to the Court as undeliverable, and the pro se party fails to	
28	notify the Court of an address change within s	ixty-three days, "the Court may dismiss the action 1

1	without prejudice for failure to prosecute." Id. 183(b). The findings and recommendations were	
2	served on Plaintiff's address of record and contained notice that Plaintiff had fourteen days within	
3	which to file objections. (ECF No. 16 at 5.) On October 5, 2023, the findings and	
4	recommendations were returned to the Court as "Undeliverable, RTS, Inactive." (See docket.)	
5	Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise communicated with the Court, and the deadline to	
6	do so has passed.	
7	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a	
8	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the	
9	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
10	Accordingly,	
11	1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 20, 2023, (ECF No. 16),	
12	are adopted in full;	
13	2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to obey court	
14	orders and failure to prosecute; and	
15	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
16		
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	Dated: October 25, 2023 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20	CIVILD PITTLS DISTRICT JODOL	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	