

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 EDWARD VINCENT RAY, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 CATES, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16
17
18
19
20

1:23-cv-00164-ADA-GSA-PC

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL**

(ECF No. 9.)

**ORDER DISMISSING THIS CASE,
WITH PREJUDICE, AS DUPLICATIVE
OF CASE 1:22-cv-01504-ADA-CDB-PC**

ORDER FOR CLERK TO CLOSE CASE

21 Edward Vincent Ray, Jr. ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma*
22 *pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a
23 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

24 On March 8, 2023, findings and recommendations were issued, recommending that this
25 case be dismissed as duplicative of case 1:22-cv-01504-ADA-CDB-PC; Ray v. Cates. (ECF No.
26 7.) Plaintiff was granted fourteen days in which to file objections to the findings and
27 recommendations. (Id.) The fourteen-day time period has expired, and Plaintiff has not filed
28 objections or any other response to the findings and recommendations. (Court Record.)


1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this
2 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
3 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on March 8,
6 2023, are adopted in full;
- 7 2. This action is dismissed, with prejudice, as duplicative of case 1:22-cv-01504-
8 ADA-CDB-PC; Ray v. Cates ; and
- 9 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

10
11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: April 12, 2023

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28