



1 amount of \$6,522.50. (*Id.* at 14.) The magistrate judge found a multiplier was not warranted after  
2 considering the factors set forth by the Ninth Circuit in *Kerr v. Screen Extras Guild, Inc.*, 526, F.2d 67,  
3 69-70 (9th Cir. 1975), and recommended the Court decline to apply a multiplier to the fee award. (*Id.*  
4 at 12-13.) The magistrate judge also found that the requested costs “were reasonably incurred and  
5 recoverable,” and recommended costs be awarded in the amount of \$592.32. (*Id.* at 15.)

6 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on the parties and notified them any  
7 objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 20 at 15.) The Court advised the parties that the failure to  
8 file objections within this specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. (*Id.* at 16, citing  
9 *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Neither Plaintiff nor Defendant filed  
10 objections, and the time to do so has passed.

11 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this case.  
12 Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are  
13 supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 14 1. The Findings and Recommendations dated March 13, 2024 (Doc. 20) are **ADOPTED**  
15 in full.
- 16 2. Plaintiff’s motion for attorneys’ fees and costs (Doc. 11) is **GRANTED** in part.
- 17 3. Plaintiff is **AWARDED** the modified amount of **\$7,114.82**, including fees in the  
18 amount of \$6,522.50 and costs in the amount of \$592.32.

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: March 28, 2024

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE