

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALONZO WARREN, JR.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERIOR  
COURT, et al,  
Defendants.

No. 1:23-cv-00405-ADA-SAB  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL AND  
DISMISSING THIS ACTION FOR FAILURE  
TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER,  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, AND FAILURE  
TO PAY FILING FEE  
(ECF No. 9)

Plaintiff Alonzo Warren, Jr. (“Plaintiff”), currently incarcerated at the Stanislaus Public Safety Center in Modesto, California and proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee and instead filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (ECF No. 2.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 21, 2023, the Magistrate Judge denied Plaintiff’s initial IFP application without prejudice, due to it not being properly completed, and ordered Plaintiff to either pay the filing fee or submit an amended application within 30 days of service. (ECF No. 6.) Therein, the Magistrate Judge advise Plaintiff that “[f]ailure to file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* in compliance with [the Court’s] order will result in the recommendation that this action

1 be dismissed.” (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff did not submit an amended IFP application nor paid the filing  
2 fee.

3 On May 5, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations,  
4 recommending that this action be dismissed for Plaintiff’s failure to pay the filing fee, or file an  
5 application to proceed IFP in this action, failure to abide by the Court’s order, and failure to  
6 prosecute. (ECF No. 9.) The Magistrate Judge provided Plaintiff fourteen days to file objections  
7 to the findings and recommendations. (*Id.*) The deadline to file objections to the findings and  
8 recommendations has passed and no objections have been filed.

9 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this  
10 Court conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the  
11 Court finds the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

12 Accordingly,

- 13 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 5, 2023, (ECF No. 9), are  
14 ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 15 2. This action is DISMISSED for Plaintiff’s failure to pay the filing fee or file an  
16 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this action, failure to abide by the  
17 Court’s order, and failure to prosecute; and
- 18 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

19  
20  
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: July 20, 2023

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE